

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Bill Kemeza

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your

answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other: An executive summary

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

.....

What changes do you suggest? *

Consider a short summary in the preface.

.....

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

.....

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Malcolm Bryant

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other: See Below

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

I do not have further comments or edits to add to the proposed recommendation. I believe it accurately reflects the discussion that took place at our last meeting. It is clear, coherent, and well written. It also reflects the majority vote.

What changes do you suggest? *

None.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

- Substantive
- Editorial
- Other: See above.

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: See above

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Keith Hannon

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Documents reviewed and discussed by the TF included:

What changes do you suggest? *

The 2011 pond herbicide treatment report should be included in the list of documents the TF considered

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Individual TF members or or Work Groups engaged in additional outreach and communications with experts

What changes do you suggest? *

Adam Burnett from the US Army Corps of Engineers spoke with the dredging subgroup and should be included in the list.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

4th bullet ending with "consult with the CPC on their previously approved funding for the pond"

What changes do you suggest? *

The new pond management committee will provide updated cost estimates to the NRC. The TF Alternatives Report costs are not complete, and it does not currently include an herbicide treatment cost estimate. That TF Alternatives report should not be cited as the only source of cost estimates that the NRC should be using to make budgeting/spending decisions. Also, the last sentence should be expanded to include that the CPC can potentially approve additional funds in the future to help pay for pond management activities. We established this is true at a recent TF meeting. This bullet is summarizing how the budget to manage the pond is to be paid for, and CPC funds can be used now and in the future.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

in the 6th bullet, change the word fish ladder to fish "passage". No fish ladder was proposed for the dam

What changes do you suggest? *

in the 6th bullet, change the word fish ladder to fish "passage". No fish ladder was proposed for the dam

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

4th bullet summary of representation

What changes do you suggest? *

Reference to Concord Public Works should be instead to the CPW Commission. Also, I don't agree that OARS should be an invited member to this new committee. They don't have the same interests as those who want to serve on it to manage the pond effectively.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

page 6

What changes do you suggest? *

First sentence, CPW should say CPW Commission

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

First sentence

What changes do you suggest? *

CPW Commission should be listed along with Recreation and Historical Commissions

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Reference to Kenny Dunn Square

What changes do you suggest? *

Kenny Dunn Square is not the pocket park overlooking the dam. Signage would be at the pocket park. Delete reference to K Dunn Square

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

First sentence

What changes do you suggest? *

Warners Pond is cherished by many CONCORD residents. Delete the word West. This is not just a West Concord resource that is only appreciated by West Concord. Also, change the second sentence to say "The pond has experienced a natural eutrophication process due to the buildup of sediments and nutrients over time. These factors contribute to periods of poor water quality (e.g., low dissolved oxygen and elevated temperatures) during the summer months (delete reference to excess nutrients here. Excess nutrients in Warner's Pond are not actually themselves causing poor water quality conditions. They are not at levels needed to harm wildlife, but they do encourage invasive species growth). This is an important clarification to make regarding excess nutrients.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: This continues to be a difficult concept for the TF to understand. Please address this and make a change

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

RE: the sentence that begins "If the experiment in adaptive management is not achieving its stated objectives..."

What changes do you suggest? *

Remove the words "it should be deemed a failure". That is not needed and any pond management activities will not be a full failure. The results can be assessed and the committee can decide what to do. It's too presumptuous to call anything a failure at this point. Also in the next paragraph, change "fish ladder" to fish passage.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The recommendation will result in some increased town cost

What changes do you suggest? *

Change this to say the recommendation "may" result in increased town cost. Add referecne to CPA/CPC funding is also available and could be applied for and granted to help pay for pond management in the name of recreation enhancement. This would limit costs the town would need to pay extra for.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Its important to include CPA funds are available to help pay for pond management

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Reference to "legal requirememnts"

What changes do you suggest? *

delete the reference to "legal requirements". There is no legal basis or push coming down from the state to remove dams. The state supports dam removal per MA DER, and other agencies, but MA DCR recently committed \$millions to rebuild their dam on the Mystic River. It's not accurate information to scare people into thinking we might get sued for having a dam in town, when the state is actually rebuilding the one's they own and manage.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

This whole section needs to be restructured

What changes do you suggest? *

Many TF members supported dredging. Why is that alternative being summarized equally here as dam removal as what TF members wanted? Also, the list of cherry picked comments from the public comments received from the concord land trust, wild and scenice rivers council, bryan windmiller, and david swain should be removed. Why do their voices matter more than other town residents? The TF also did not invite a pond management company representative to a TF meeting. That perspective and professional insight was not understood and should have been part of the "professional" perspective in the final report regarding biodiversity. Also, the sentence that begins "Specifically, the interim report noted: "A restored stream...can claim to offer the most diversity of wildlife" is problematic. I argued against that and don't fully agree as it is another more nuanced and complicated issue with many factors to consider to make an informed assessment regarding biodiversity. There is no true consensus by TF members that this is true. This reference/sentence should be deleted from the final report. The benefits of dam removal are well understood by most, including TF and the NRC, and what remains in the report after removing the items i highlighted here, makes this section more balanced and fair.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

third bullet about a third party consultant.

What changes do you suggest? *

We don't need a third party consultant to review the data collected by the primary pond management consultant. The data speak for themselves and the NRC and the new pond management committee can determine if the pre determined metrics are clear and the management is working or not.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Christine Denaro

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Review of documentation and expert consultations...

What changes do you suggest? *

Please add in Adam Burnett, Army Corp of Engineers - Project Manager Milford Pond (He was an expert that spoke with the Dredging group...the idea of a 12' dredge depth came from the discussion with Adam.)

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Must be added.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Consulted Experts

What changes do you suggest? *

Keith made a presentation to the TF based on his expertise as an employee of the Army Corp of Engineers. I think leaving him off the initial list was an oversight.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Independent study design, monitoring and assessment, whereby the metrics are established and monitored in a robust study design created by a qualified independent entity (i.e., a consultant), not the same entity carrying out the recurring management actions nor the new Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee (described below). Metrics should be collected at sufficient frequency and scale to permit concrete assessment of management actions.

What changes do you suggest? *

Independent study design, monitoring and assessment, whereby the metrics are established and monitored by the new WP committee and the Pond Management Company (described below). Metrics should be collected at sufficient frequency and scale to permit concrete assessment of management actions.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

By having/hiring an independent entity (consultant) to review the metrics shows a lack of trust in the process. There will be specific metrics that will be measured. This was only one suggestion of many that was brought up at the last meeting and as I already said, it shows a lack of trust in the process.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Accountability to a clearly defined, limited budget, proposed to and approved by the NRC. For guidance, the NRC should review the Task Force's estimated costs from its interim "Alternatives Under Consideration" report, and consult with the Community Preservation Committee on their previously approved funding for the pond.⁷

What changes do you suggest? *

This sounds like two different things. The committee should come up with the budget that is clearly defined, limited, and proposed to and approved by the NRC. However, the NRC and the committee should consult with the CPC to understand what and how much of the already approved funds can be used for this budget. Also the footnote refers to Appendix H...there is no Appendix H attached to this report.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other: _____

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

I was under the impression that this committee reports to the NRC, but it is independent and should not have to ask the NRC for permission to do what they have been charged to do.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

A mandate to report and make recommendations to the NRC on an annual basis.

What changes do you suggest? *

I would delete this comment. It is vague. If the committee has any authority, and they have a budget, they should just inform the NRC on an annual basis. Plus it goes against the paragraph right above it. That they are tasked with overseeing preparation and implementation of the Adaptive Management Plan...among other duties.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

I have a question about the authority the committee has and if they have to have everything approved by the NRC, it seems to me that there is too much red tape and nothing will get done.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

As noted above, external support from a pond management consultant and/or third-party evaluator to ensure rigorous and impartial development and tracking of goals and metrics in the Adaptive Management Plan.

What changes do you suggest? *

Delete this bullet point. As per my previous comment, we never agreed to a third party evaluator/consultant.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: It should be deleted.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Installation of historical panels and/or artwork at Kenny Dunn Square pocket park to provide information on the history of Nashoba Brook and Warner's Pond and their relationship to Indigenous residents, early industry, and the development of West Concord.

What changes do you suggest? *

Kenny Dunn Square and the pocket park are two different places. So perhaps say "at Kenny Dunn Square or the pocket park..."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: It's just a correction

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force therefore believes there is little immediate harm in planning short-term, low-cost actions to make improvements to the pond, and continuing to carefully assess potential actions related to the long-term disposition of the pond, provided that these measures are undertaken in a rigorous, time-bound manner as specified above.

What changes do you suggest? *

"continuing to carefully assess, plan, and implement actions..."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: We are not going to just assess potential actions. The need is to assess, plan, and implement actions.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

To promote rigor and accountability to performance targets, a comprehensive reevaluation and decision-making process should take place at the five-year mark to determine whether a different, more capital-intensive long-term management approach is needed. This comprehensive five-year decision-making milestone is an important element of this recommendation, necessary for ensuring that adaptive management does not unintentionally enable the long-term degradation of the pond system. To the Task Force, such a long-term outcome is not acceptable.

What changes do you suggest? *

"to determine the progress of this plan and whether a different, more capital-intensive long-range management is needed or to continue with the current plan. Then delete "This comprehensive five-year decision-making milestone is an important element of this recommendation, necessary for ensuring that adaptive management does not unintentionally enable the long-term degradation of the pond system. To the Task Force, such a long-term outcome is not acceptable."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Should be promoted more positively. What I requested to be deleted is implying that the NRC and the committee is incapable of being honest about the process.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

If the experiment in adaptive management is not achieving its stated objectives by the end of year five, it should be deemed a failure and the town should look back at this Task Force's work (among other data sources) to help determine a more effective way to address the underlying issues.

What changes do you suggest? *

This comment reinforces that whatever we write in this proposal is so important, because this is what people are going to look at if there are any issues. This proposal needs to be right and include more background information about how we got to the TF in the first place.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Appendix F is confusing. It shows the boat launch costs twice. Once in the adaptive pond mangement table and also in the dam removal table.

What changes do you suggest? *

Make these tables more cohesive.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: It has to reflect the proper costs.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

This whole section should be rewritten. The use of "some", "others", "several", "a number", are misleading and not reflective of the perspectives and expectations.

This specific sentence "A number of members are influenced by their expectation that the Warner's Pond dam is very likely to be removed at some point in the future (whether due to maintenance/safety issues, legal requirements, or town decision-making), although they recognize that the timeline is highly uncertain." does not reflect "a number" of the TF. This comment was thrown out for discussion and I don't believe that it reflects the majority opinion of the TF.

What changes do you suggest? *

As I said, this section needs to be rewritten. In reading it, it appears to me that the dam removal group's opinions/perspectives are being given a lot of credibility. We should note that this reflects the opinions of 3 members and 8 members voted for adaptive pond management. We never agreed to weigh the criteria when making our decisions. Unfortunately when the TF was asked to rate the criteria, suddenly this became an opportunity to rate the ecological criteria overly high. We all agreed that all five criteria was important and that we never agreed on a rating system. The way this is written, the environmental professionals support dam removal...of course they do, that is their job. Also, why is the Concord Land Conservation Trust trustees, direct abutter to Warner's Pond and representing 500 households (via a public comment form) on this list? We never discussed this and they never commented at a meeting. David Swain's comments (also a comment via public comment form) was never discussed. Where is Peter Alden's opinion? He spoke at two of our meetings. Does this mean that the written word is more important than a professional coming to our meeting and making comments? Joe Gould could not justify taking down Warner's Pond dam since it was so new...that comment is not reflected. Honestly, this makes it look like we ignored the ecologists, when in fact, we looked at all of the criteria, not just one. I think this is overly weighted in the proposal and when people look back on this report, it will be difficult for them to see what actually occurred.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other: Needs to be re-written

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Two of these members suggested an alternative for consensus involving dam removal, stream restoration, and limited dredging to maintain a smaller Warner's Pond near Gerow Park, but this concept did not attract additional support.

What changes do you suggest? *

This was brought up at the last meeting, with no research, costs, anything.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Several of these members believe it is important for this report to highlight elements of the Task Force's information gathering and deliberation that point to the benefits of dam removal. These members point to the written survey that Task Force members completed during the deliberation phase of their work and note that recurring management was the top ranked option for seven Task Force members, dam removal was the top recommendation for four Task Force members, and dredging was not the top ranked option for any Task Force members.

In addition, these members note that 1) Task Force members weighted ecological sustainability in their survey responses on average as the most important or relevant criterion for evaluating options for the pond system, and 2) the Task Force's interim report characterized dam removal and stream restoration as the most ecologically beneficial solution at Warners Pond. Specifically, the interim report noted: "A restored stream and wetland system, as would be produced by removing the dam, can claim to offer the most diversity of wildlife."

What changes do you suggest? *

The 3 members, not several. Once again, the weighing of the criteria is coming into play. We agreed as a TF that we would not weigh the criteria and that all five criteria were important. The weighing of ecological benefits was skewed based on a couple of members. Then to include the environmental professionals with no further comments is misleading. The ecologists are only looking at dam removal from their single perspective.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Needs to be rewritten.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

.....

What changes do you suggest? *

There is no mention of the Scouts and Scout Island. There is no mention that dredging was the preferred method to preserve the pond (since 2012) and that in 2022 when one bid was received, during the height of COVID, was 3x the expected amount.

.....

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

.....

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: This information needs to be added.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force then approved this final report on June 12 by a vote of XXXX.

Footnote:

6 The final vote included only 10 Task Force members because one member resigned from the Task Force, pursuant to Town regulations, after joining the Select Board and before the Task Force's final meeting.

What changes do you suggest? *

The footnote should say "The final vote of the written proposal, included 10 TF members..."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Shows that all 11 members voted for the proposal, however only 10 voted for the written proposal.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Vickie

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Why not just quote the charge? it includes reference to Envision Concord - which is missing here. No need to paraphrase.

What changes do you suggest? *

I think all process should move to the end of the 'recommendation' which is what we voted for. this document should start with the final recommendation to the NRC, the vote of the task force, a quote or two on why people voted this way (prioritized people, etc) and one quote from the one negative vote as to why he voted that way. The remainder of the background, etc should move to the back of this recommendation.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

agreement on the 75% agreement - although we did meet this threshold - it was not agreed upon - this is misleading

What changes do you suggest? *

remove this sentence - we are governed by typical Town of Concord protocol - which is majority vote

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

list of experts

What changes do you suggest? *

I believe the FOWP FAQ's has a more complete list of experts we reached out to

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other: _____

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

summary of the public forums

What changes do you suggest? *

we should share the outcome of the public feedback during these meetings - it's very important to understand that for future reference of 'what we heard from the public'

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

outcome of the task force survey

What changes do you suggest? *

should be shared here for future understanding

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

name of the committee - Warner's Pond Watershed Management
Committee

What changes do you suggest? *

Watershed should be removed from the name - this committee is focused on Warner's Pond - other agencies focus on the watershed - we should not expand what this committee is responsible for - nor did we EVER discuss this or agree to this addition to the name

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Clear and specific goals, metrics, and performance targets related to generally accepted measures of water quality (e.g. dissolved oxygen, water temperature, nutrients), biodiversity (fish, birds, invertebrates), percent cover and annual duration of aquatic invasive species, and recreation (e.g. use of the pond for fishing, boating)

What changes do you suggest? *

These goals should be established by the new committee - not by this sentence. We do not want to restrict or handcuff the committee or the company they hire. The NRC is qualified to review the recommendation of this new committee and approve actions

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Independent study design, monitoring and assessment, whereby the metrics are established and monitored in a robust study design created by a qualified independent entity (i.e., a consultant), not the same entity carrying out the recurring management actions nor the new Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee (described below). Metrics should be collected at sufficient frequency and scale to permit concrete assessment of management actions.

What changes do you suggest? *

We do not need to add an additional layer of oversight and cost to this process. we have a very qualified NRC, consultation from the NRD, and appointed group for the committee, and a professional water management consultant. that is enough to do the work with TRUST! This new addition was never reviewed with the task force, was not put on the list we pulled together at the last meeting, and needs to be removed.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

(i.e., they should assume that both dam removal and dredging remain options in the future and be designed accordingly).

What changes do you suggest? *

Until when - this is written as if it's forever - I think we should step lightly until this group determines that the actions are working - then we can proceed as if dam removal is not imminent.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

In offering these recommendations, the Task Force acknowledges that different Task Force members have different perspectives and expectations regarding the likelihood of success. Some members are cautiously optimistic that a rigorous adaptive methodology combined with multistakeholder implementation and oversight could result in meaningful improvements to the pond system. Others are more skeptical, but recognize that the town and the Task Force are highly divided on the issues of dam removal and dredging, and acknowledge that there are benefits to improving data collection and monitoring changes to the ecology of the pond system, along with changes to the surrounding human infrastructure and recreational needs, to enable more informed decision-making in the future. A number of members are influenced by their expectation that the Warner's Pond dam is very likely to be removed at some point in the future (whether due to maintenance/safety issues, legal requirements, or town decision-making), although they recognize that the timeline is highly uncertain.

What changes do you suggest? *

This paragraph makes it sound as if there are MANY concerns - but in reality - there was much enthusiasm when voting for the adaptive management plan - that's what this should start with - it's important to start with our enthusiasm for reaching this decision - that many people are excited to what this path can bring. THEN - at the END - say something about differing opinions. the tone of this entire section needs to change to share how united the task force actually is on this direction - where does it report that?

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

To some members, the recommendation represents an inability to reach agreement on a more substantial intervention that would address root causes of the pond's condition. These members feel the recommendation represents a decision to "kick the can down the road" and is unlikely to succeed. Two of these members suggested an alternative for consensus involving dam removal, stream restoration, and limited dredging to maintain a smaller Warner's Pond near Gerow Park, but this concept did not attract additional support.

Several of these members believe it is important for this report to highlight elements of the Task Force's information gathering and deliberation that point to the benefits of dam removal. These members point to the written survey that Task Force members completed during

What changes do you suggest? *

there is more written about 3 person's reticence that ANY conversation about those who are excited to move forward with the overwhelming agreement - from the task force - and overwhelming response for the public - THAT's what's missing from this report.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other: done

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

done

What changes do you suggest? *

done

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other: done

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: done

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Jeff Collins

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

"The Task Force identified and agreed on five key questions that must be answered in the affirmative with respect to a course of action that the group might recommend:"

What changes do you suggest? *

I do not recall this specific framing whereby these questions must be answered in the affirmative. My understanding has been that we were developing proposals that optimize across these various community criteria. I would suggest "The Task Force identified five key considerations that should be optimized with respect to a course of action that the group might recommend." Or other language that is closer to the Purpose section of our Charge.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: If I can be reminded of when we agreed to this framing, I'm ok with the existing language.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

These meetings included in-depth discussion of the impacts of different alternatives...

What changes do you suggest? *

These meetings included in-depth discussion of the relative merits of different alternatives...

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Bulleted text generally

What changes do you suggest? *

No changes. Rather, I think the bullets accurately capture our discussion and Task Force agreement in our last meeting. I would like to see NO changes to this section and will not be able to support the recommendations if any of these elements are whittled down.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Development of a trail network to enhance access to and enjoyment of the pond system, e.g. around the agricultural field and bridge crossing Nashoba brook near the Bruce Freeman Rail Trail

What changes do you suggest? *

Development of a trail network to enhance access to and enjoyment of the pond system and Nashoba Brook, e.g. around the agricultural field and an at-grade bridge crossing Nashoba Brook near the Bruce Freeman Rail Trail

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

1) Introductory paragraph. This text focuses on Warner's Pond as an isolated entity and reads as "meh, what's the big deal?" This section should also point out that the dam itself degrades ecological conditions (aquatic habitat connectivity).

2) Minor change to capture that we are interested in ACTION, not PLANNING

What changes do you suggest? *

"...and extensive invasive vegetation, degrading the pond's ecological and recreation value. The dam itself also prevents the natural movement of fish and other aquatic wildlife between the Assabet River and the Nashoba Brook watershed. If nothing is done...become a vast wetland with a channel passing through it while the dam continues to act as a barrier to wildlife movement."

"The Task Force therefore believes there is little immediate harm in attempting short-term..."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Numbered paragraph referring to Talbot Mills Dam

What changes do you suggest? *

No change suggested. This is important to retain in the report.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force recommends that the management plan should be in effect for a minimum of five years to allow sufficient time to determine if adaptive management approaches are viable.

What changes do you suggest? *

We specifically spoke about evaluating five years from now, not after five years of management. So I think we need to use 2030 rather than 'five years'. Getting this designed, permitted, and funded will take significant time. I do not want this to become an open-ended experiment where nobody remembers in 7 years what we all talked about and the pond slowly degrades into obsolescence. I think two years of planning and three years of management will give us a solid understanding of management actions.

"The Task Force recommends that the adaptive management should be pursued through 2030 to allow sufficient time to plan, fund, permit, initiate, and determine if this approach is viable from the technical, financial, and permitting perspectives. To promote rigor...a comprehensive reevaluation and decision-making process should take place by 2030 to determine..."

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

David Swain, professor of field ornithology at Southern NH University

What changes do you suggest? *

David is an associate professor in the English department at SNHU and co-teaches a class in field ornithology. Perhaps "David Swain, instructor in field ornithology at Southern New Hampshire University"

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Appendix A and C

What changes do you suggest? *

update font for legibility and to match rest of the document

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other: _____

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

David Owen

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Bullets five and eight list examples of "groups with a specific interest in the future of the pond system" (bullet five) and "community stakeholders, interest groups" (bullet eight).

What changes do you suggest? *

"Concord Scout House and the Scouting community" should be added to the example in both cases.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: I am open to other wording that makes it clear that the use by Scouting is noted as a significant interest group)

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

First bullet: "Improvements to...Avenue to provide stream access..."

What changes do you suggest? *

Shouldn't this be "pond system" as is everywhere else, rather than "stream?"

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Talbot Mills dam removal

What changes do you suggest? *

I recall that there was not just one dam that needed removing before Warner's Pond's dam becomes the last remaining barrier to further improvement the Nashoba Brook and Fort Pond Brook watersheds. If that's true it should be noted here.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

If there are indeed two down stream names and not just Talbot Mills, then this is substantive. If there is only one, then this comment can be ignored.

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: If there are two dams down stream and not just Talbot Mills, then I can not sign off without this correction.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

"..decision making process take place any time a significant investment..."

What changes do you suggest? *

Some quantification should be provided. I would not think adding a fish ladder would require a "comprehensive revaluation" and don't want this report to force unneeded bureaucracy.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

David Owen

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

.....

What changes do you suggest? *

It seems like the names and disclosed associations of each Task Force member should be included. I assume this section is the correct place.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: If I hear a convincing argument why members of the Task Force should not be disclosed, I still would be willing to sign off.

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

Add to the last bullet regarding "Ongoing efforts to support and leverage community involvement..."

What changes do you suggest? *

Add that the Concord Scouting America Troop 132, chartered by Concord Scout House has volunteered to actively participate in the development of a monitoring process as part of scouts earning the Sustainability Merit Badge.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other:

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

Warner's Pond Task Force Draft Recommendations Comments

Please use this form to provide feedback on the draft of the Warner's Pond Task Force recommendations document. Please note these comments are not anonymous and will be shared with other Task Force members and the public. If you have any issues you would like to raise in private please follow up directly with Toby and/or Rachel.

Name and background info

Your name *

Paul Boehm (form input by Toby)

The questions on the following pages will ask you for comments on specific sections of the report. Before providing these more specific comments, do you have any overarching comments on the report that you'd like to share? If so, please provide them below

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

.....

What changes do you suggest? *

I think all 3 proposals should be included as Appendices.

.....

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

.....

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force was tasked with preparing a draft report, presenting the draft report at a public hearing to solicit feedback from the community, and then prepare its final report outlining a course of action. This document constitutes the Task Force's Final Report to the Natural Resources Commission, in final fulfillment of the above duties and responsibilities.

What changes do you suggest? *

I don't think this is quite right.

- 1- We developed three possible recommendations/proposals
- 2- The Public commented on those at a Public Forum
- 3- The Task Force deliberated and voted on its recommendation
- 4- The recommendation was formalized in a final report.

There was no "draft report" per se.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

These meetings included in-depth discussions of the impacts of different alternative solutions on the Warner's Pond system and its public recreational uses, with opportunities for Task Force questions and expert responses.

What changes do you suggest? *

These meetings included in-depth discussions of the impacts of different alternative solutions on the Warner's Pond system and its public recreational uses, with opportunities for Task Force questions and expert responses.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

After developing a foundational understanding of the pond system and feasible alternatives to improve its ecological health and recreational capacity, the Task Force divided into three separate Work Groups each tasked with developing a specific proposal for an alternative course of action:

1) enhanced recurring pond management, 2) dredging, and 3) dam removal. (The dredging sub-group ultimately presented three related alternatives, reflecting three different dredge volumes.) The three proposals (or five, accounting for the three dredge options) were intended to represent the Task Force's best efforts at articulating what enhanced recurring pond management, dredging, and dam removal could look like if optimized to meet the Envision Concord criteria and stakeholder goals and concerns. Each Work Group worked independently on its proposal, then shared it with the full Task Force for feedback and refinement.

What changes do you suggest? *

After developing a foundational understanding of the pond system and feasible alternatives to improve its ecological health and recreational capacity, the Task Force divided into three separate Work Groups each tasked with developing a specific proposal for an alternative course of action. The agreed upon alternatives included:

1) enhanced recurring pond management, 2) dredging, and 3) dam removal. (The dredging sub-group ultimately presented three related alternatives, reflecting three different dredge volumes.) The three proposals (or five, accounting for the three dredge options) were intended to represent in conceptual detail the Task Force's best efforts at articulating what the outcome of each alternative - enhanced recurring pond management, dredging, and dam removal - would include if optimized to meet the Envision Concord criteria and stakeholder goals and concerns. Each Work Group worked independently on its proposal, briefing the entire Task Force on progress and the finally sharing its proposal it with the full Task Force for feedback and refinement.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The proposals were then written up and shared with the public in the Task Force's March 2025 "Alternatives Under Consideration" report. This interim/draft report

What changes do you suggest? *

Not sure we should characterize this as a "draft report" They were presentations.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force initially approved an initial framework for these recommendations on May 22 by a vote of 8 members who either supported or could “live with” the recommendation, to 1 member opposed it, with 2 members abstaining.

What changes do you suggest? *

Not correct. We didn't approve a framework; we actually approved the recommendation of the “Recurring Management Plan” (remade as Adaptive Management Plan) as proposed (Proposal #1) and to be modified over time. We did not approve "an initial framework" Reference to that specific plan needs to be included.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force's recommendations to the NRC include three elements:

1. Preparation and implementation of an Adaptive Management Plan
2. Convening of a standing multi-stakeholder Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee to oversee the development and implementation of the Adaptive Management Plan, among other duties related to the pond and watershed
3. Cooperation and support for a series of specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system

What changes do you suggest? *

Suggested text:

In recommending the development and execution of the "Recurring Management Plan" the Task Force agreed to change the title of that proposal to "Adaptive Management Plan" reflecting the dynamic nature of the actual implementation steps to be taken over time.

In recommending the preparation and implementation of an "Adaptive Management Plan" the Task Force's recommendations to the NRC included two specific elements:

1. Convening of a standing multi-stakeholder Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee to oversee the development and implementation of the Adaptive Management Plan, among other duties related to the pond and watershed
2. Cooperation and support for a series of specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system

Comments: As written, the report implies that the recommendation is to form a committee and then they will decide. To me that sounds like we made no decision other than to form another committee. Specific management actions need to be included in the report, even if those are tentative. Proposal 1 in its entirety needs to be included as an Appendix. That's basically what we voted on..

The lead sentence needs to be modified:

"The Task Force's recommendation to the NRC was to adopt Proposal #1 as developed by the Task Force. The overall recommendation included three elements:

1-Preparation and implementation of the "Recurring Management Plan" proposal, now renamed by the Task Force as an "Adaptive Management Plan"

2-

3-

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)

I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed

Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

Yes I have more to add

No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

The Task Force recommends that the NRC establish and implement an Adaptive Management Plan for Warner's Pond, with the plan's preparation and implementation overseen by a multistakeholder subcommittee under the NRC. The Adaptive Management Plan should include the following elements:

What changes do you suggest? *

The Task Force recommends that the NRC establish and implement an Adaptive Management Plan for Warner's Pond, with the plan's preparation and implementation overseen by a multistakeholder subcommittee under the NRC. The Adaptive Management Plan proposal as written included a number of specific actionable projects, which were different than previous actions and/or were repeated and measured. In that vein the implementation of the Plan should include the following elements:

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other:

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

3. Cooperation and support for a series of specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system

What changes do you suggest? *

Reference to specific actions recommended in that proposal need to be highlighted/included. They only appear at the end of Key Considerations section.

"These methods may include herbicides, hand harvesting, suction harvesting, limited drawdowns, and other methods determined by the Management Committee".

Without this, the report reads as if we are recommending a Plan to develop a Pla.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**

What topic or section of the report does your comment address? *

- Background
- Task Force Process
- Recommendations overview
- Recommendations subsection -- Adaptive Management Plan
- Recommendations subsection -- Warner's Pond Watershed Management Committee
- Recommendations subsection -- Specific actions to enhance the recreational and historical value of the Warner's Pond system
- Key Considerations overview
- Key Considerations subsection -- Adaptive management decision-making timeline and triggers
- Key considerations subsection -- Stakeholder involvement in the Watershed Management Committee
- Key considerations subsection -- Historical and recreational improvements
- Key considerations subsection -- Costs
- Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations
- Acknowledgments
- Appendices
- Other: Suggested new section title "Alternatives Considered but Not Recommended"

What is the text you want to comment on (if relevant, paste or describe it)?

N/A -- insert new section before "Additional Task Force Member Perspectives and Expectations"

What changes do you suggest? *

Insert new section titled "Alternatives Considered but Not Recommended." The report seems incomplete without a concise summary of the major reasons why the other proposals presented at the Public Forum were not accepted. I know this will require the co-chairs to offer opinions but such a summary is essential:

My opinions:

The dredging options were not preferred due to the high costs of any and all options and the high uncertainty in funding

The dam removal proposal was not favored largely due to the uncertainties in the final outcome – channel configuration; sustainability of the deep hole; vegetative coverage outcome of the exposed pond substrates; etc

Without some discussion of why these were not preferred, the report is incomplete in my opinion and will leave lots of questions unanswered.

Is your comment substantive or editorial? *

Substantive

Editorial

Other:

How high a priority is this issue for you? *

- I think it would make the report better but I can live with the alternative(s)
- I cannot sign off on the report if this is not addressed
- Other: Not specified by Paul

Do you have more comments to add? (Answering yes will give you an opportunity to add more comments. Answering no will submit all your survey responses/comments.) *

- Yes I have more to add
- No I'm done

Specific comments

Please offer your specific comments below, identifying the relevant section, text, suggested changes, whether the comment is substantive or editorial, and its level of importance/priority. You can offer up to 20 comments (an average of a bit more than one per topic/section below), and you will be asked to answer these questions for each comment you make. To add an additional comment, simply answer "yes" to the last question below and you will be brought to new page to add another comment. When you are done, answer "no" to the last question and your answers will be submitted. **Do not forget to answer "no" to the last question and/or submit your form once you're done, or we will not receive any of your answers.**